IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WR GRACE	PIQ	56389-0091

) Chapter 11
) Case No. 01-01139 (JKF)
) Jointly Administered
)

W. R. Grace Asbestos Personal Injury Questionnaire

YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS QUESTIONNAIRE BECAUSE GRACE BELIEVES THAT YOU HAD SUED ONE OR MORE OF THE DEBTORS LISTED IN APPENDIX A ATTACHED TO THIS QUESTIONNAIRE BEFORE GRACE FILED FOR BANKRUPTCY ON APRIL 2, 2001 FOR AN ASBESTOS-RELATED PERSONAL INJURY OR WRONGFUL DEATH CLAIM, AND THAT CLAIM WAS NOT FULLY RESOLVED.

IF YOU HAVE SUCH A CLAIM, YOU MUST COMPLETE AND SUBMIT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE BY JANUARY 12, 2006 TO RUST CONSULTING, INC., THE CLAIMS PROCESSING AGENT, AT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ADDRESSES:

IF SENT BY U.S. MAIL

IF SENT BY FEDERAL EXPRESS, UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, OR A SIMILAR HAND DELIVERY SERVICE

RUST CONSULTING, INC. CLAIMS PROCESSING AGENT RE: W.R. GRACE & CO. BANKRUPTCY P.O. BOX 1620 FARIBAULT, MN 55021 RUST CONSULTING, INC. CLAIMS PROCESSING AGENT RE: W.R. GRACE & CO. BANKRUPTCY 201 S. LYNDALE AVE. FARIBAULT, MN 55021

A QUESTIONNAIRE (AND ANY AMENDMENTS OR ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE) WILL <u>NOT</u> BE CONSIDERED UNLESS RECEIVED BY RUST CONSULTING, INC. BY JANUARY 12, 2006.

THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT APPROVED BY THE COURT IN CONNECTION WITH ESTIMATING GRACE'S ASBESTOS-RELATED PERSONAL INJURY AND WRONGFUL DEATH CLAIMS AS A WHOLE. THE QUESTIONNAIRE IS BEING USED BY W. R. GRACE AS A MEANS TO SEEK INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ASBESTOS CLAIM. BY TIMELY RETURNING THE QUESTIONNAIRE AS COMPLETELY AND ACCURATELY AS POSSIBLE, GRACE, THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEES, AND THE FUTURE CLAIMANTS REPRESENTATIVE WILL SEEK TO PRIORITIZE THE PROCESSING OF YOUR CLAIM UNDER ANY TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES APPROVED AS PART OF A PLAN OF REORGANIZATION.

THE COURT HAS ORDERED THAT, AS PART OF THE DISCOVERY PROCESS, ALL HOLDERS OF PRE-PETITION ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMS MUST COMPLETE AND RETURN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE. THUS, FAILURE TO TIMELY RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE AS COMPLETELY AND ACCURATELY AS POSSIBLE MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS AND/OR OTHER RELIEF AVAILABLE UNDER APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES.

BECAUSE YOUR CLAIM WILL BE EVALUATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES APPROVED AS PART OF A PLAN OF REORGANIZATION. COMPLETION OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE DOES <u>NOT</u> MEAN THAT YOUR CLAIM WILL EITHER BE ALLOWED OR PAID. TO THE EXTENT YOU ATTACH TO THIS QUESTIONNAIRE DOCUMENTS ALSO NEEDED BY THE TRUST TO PROCESS YOUR CLAIM, SUCH DOCUMENTS WILL BE PROVIDED TO THE TRUST AND YOU WILL NOT NEED TO RESUBMIT THEM.

i

INSTRUCTIONS



A. GENERAL

This Questionnaire refers to any lawsuit that you filed before April 2, 2001 for an "asbestos-related personal injury or wrongful deaurciann." This term is intended to cover any lawsuit alleging any claim for personal injuries or damages that relates to: (a) exposure to any products or materials containing asbestos that were manufactured, sold, supplied, produced, specified, selected, distributed or in any way marketed by one or more of the Debtors (or any of their respective past or present affiliates), or (b) exposure to vermiculite mined, milled or processed by the Debtors (or any of their respective past or present affiliates, any of the predecessors of any of the Debtors or any of their predecessors of any of the Debtors or any of th

injury (whether physical, emotional or otherwise), wrongful death, survivorship, proximate, consequential, general, special, and punitive damages.
 Your Questionnaire will be deemed filed only when it has been received by Rust Consulting Inc., the Claims Processing Agent, via U.S. Mail, Federal Express, United Parcel Service or similar hand delivery service. A Questionnaire that is submitted by facsimile, telecopy or other electronic transmission will not be accepted and will not be deemed filed.

for, relating to, or arising out of, resulting from, or attributable to, directly or indirectly, death, bodily injury, sickness, disease, or other personal injuries or other damages caused, or allegedly caused, directly or indirectly, and arising or allegedly arising, directly or indirectly, from acts or omissions of one or more of the Debtors. It includes all such claims, debts, obligations or liabilities for compensatory damages such as loss of consortium, personal or bodily

Do not send any Questionnaire to the Debtors, counsel for the Debtors, the Future Claimants Representative, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants, the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants, the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders, or such Committees' counsel. Questionnaires that are filed with or sent to anyone other than Rust Consulting, Inc. will be deemed not to have been submitted, and such Questionnaires will not be considered.

- 3. Your completed Questionnaire must (i) be written in English, and (ii) attach relevant supporting materials as instructed further below.
- 4. All holders of claims described on page i (and as described in further detail in Instruction A (1) above) are required to file this Questionnaire by Jan. 12, 2006. Your Questionnaire will be used in connection with the estimation hearing to be conducted by the Court pursuant to the Estimation Procedures Order (a copy of which is attached as Appendix B).
- 5. Any subsequent amendment to the Questionnaire will not be considered for any purpose unless received by Jan. 12, 2006.

B. PART I - Identity of Injured Person and Legal Counsel

Respond to all applicable questions. If you are represented by a lawyer, then in Part I (b), please provide your lawyer's name and the name, telephone number and address of his/her firm. If you are represented by a lawyer, he/she must assist in the completion of this Questionnaire. Also, if you would prefer that the Debtors send any additional materials only to your lawyer, instead of sending such materials to you, then check the box indicating this in Part I (b).

All references to "you" or the like in Parts I through X shall mean the injured person. If the injured person is deceased, then the executor of the person's will (or similar estate representative) must complete this Questionnaire.

C. PART II - Asbestos-Related Condition(s)

Please indicate all asbestos-related medical conditions for which you have been diagnosed. To complete questions related to injuries, medical diagnoses, and/or conditions, please use the following categories of customarily diagnosed conditions:

- Mesothelioma
- Asbestos-Related Lung Cancer
- Other Cancer (colon, laryngeal, esophageal, pharyngeal, or stomach)
- Clinically Severe Asbestosis
- Asbestosis
- Other Asbestos Disease

If you have been diagnosed with multiple conditions and/or if you received diagnoses and diagnostic tests relating to the same condition by multiple doctors, please complete a separate Part II for each initial diagnosis and any previous or subsequent diagnoses or diagnostic tests that change or conflict with the initial diagnosis. For your convenience, additional copies of Part II are attached as Appendix C to this Questionnaire.

Supporting Documents for Diagnosis: This Questionnaire must be accompanied by copies, with access to originals upon request, of any and all documents you, your counsel, or your doctors have or subsequently obtain that support or conflict with your diagnosis.

X-rays and B-reads: Please attach all x-ray reading and reports. You may, but are not required to, attach chest x-rays. The court, however, has ruled that Grace may seek access to chest x-rays upon request.

Pulmonary Function Tests: Please attach all pulmonary function test results, including the actual raw data and all spirometric tracings, on which the results are based.

D. PART III - Direct Exposure to Grace Asbestos-Containing Products

WR GRACE PIO 66889.0003

In Part III, please provide the requested information for the job and site at which you were exposed to Grace asbestos-containing of exposure to each Grace asbestos-containing product. If your exposure was a result of your employment, use the list of occupation and moustry codes occupation and the industry in which you worked at each site. If you allege exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products at multiple sites, the Court has ordered that you must complete a separate Part III for each site. For your convenience, additional copies of Part III are attached as Appendix D to this Questionnaire.

Attach copies of any and all documents establishing that exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products had a substantial causal role in the development of the disease.

Occupational Codes

01.	Air co	nditioning	and	heating	install	er/main	enance

- 02. Asbestos miner
- 03. Asbestos plant worker/asbestos manufacturing worker
- 04. Asbestos removal/abatement
- 05. Asbestos sprayer/spray gun mechanic
- 06. Assembly line/factory/plant worker
- 07. Auto mechanic/bodywork/brake repairman
- 08. Boilermaker
- 09. Boiler repairman
- 10. Boiler worker/cleaner/inspector/engineer/installer
- 11. Building maintenance/building superintendent
- 12. Brake manufacturer/installer
- 13. Brick mason/layer/hod carrier
- 14. Burner operator
- 15. Carpenter/woodworker/cabinetmaker
- 16. Chipper
- 17. Clerical/office worker
- 18. Construction general
- 19. Custodian/janitor in office/residential building
- 20. Custodian/janitor in plant/manufacturing facility
- 21. Electrician/inspector/worker
- 22. Engineer
- 23. Firefighter
- 24. Fireman
- 25. Flooring installer/tile installer/tile mechanic
- 26. Foundry worker
- 27. Furnace worker/repairman/installer
- 28. Glass worker
- 29. Heavy equipment operator (includes truck, forklift, & crane) 59.
- 30. Insulator
- 001. Asbestos abatement/removal
- 002. Aerospace/aviation
- 100. Asbestos mining
- 101. Automotive102. Chemical
- 103. Construction trades
- 104. Iron/steel
- 105. Longshore
- 106. Maritime
- 107. Military (other than U.S. Navy)
- 108. Non-asbestos products manufacturing

- 31. Iron worker
- 32. Joiner
- 33. Laborer
- 34. Longshoreman
- 35. Machinist/machine operator
- 36. Millwright/mill worker
- 37. Mixer/bagger
- 38. Non-asbestos miner
- 39. Non-occupational/residential
- 40. Painter
- 41. Pipefitter
- 42. Plasterer
- 43. Plumber install/repair
- 44. Power plant operator
- 45. Professional (e.g., accountant, architect, physician)
- 46. Railroad worker/carman/brakeman/machinist/conductor
- 47. Refinery worker
- 48. Remover/installer of gaskets
- 49. Rigger/stevedore/seaman
- 50. Rubber/tire worker
- 51. Sandblaster
- 52. Sheet metal worker/sheet metal mechanic
- Shipfitter/shipwright/ship builder
- 54. Shipyard worker (md. repair, maintenance)
- 55. Steamfitter
- 56. Steelworker
- 57. Warehouse worker
- 58. Welder/blacksmith
- 59. Other

Industry Codes

- 109. Petrochemical
- 110. Railroad
- 111. Shipyard-construction/repair
- 112. Textile
- 113. Tire/rubber
- 114. U.S. Navy
- 115. Utilities
- 116. Grace asbestos manufacture or milling
- 117. Non-Grace asbestos manufacture or milling
- 118. Other

WB GBACK DIS

In Part IV, please provide the information requested for any injury alleged to have been caused by exposure to Grace asbestos-contact/proximity with another injured person. If you allege exposure through contact/proximity with multiple injured persons, please compared to for each injured person. For your convenience, additional copies of Part IV are attached as Appendix E to this Questionnaire.

WR GRACE PIQ 56389

F. PART V -- Exposure to Non-Grace Asbestos-Containing Products

In Part V, please provide the requested information for each party against which you have filed a lawsuit and/or claim alleging exposure to asbestos-containing products other than Grace products. If you filed such lawsuits and/or claims against multiple parties, the Court has ordered that you must complete a separate Part V for each party. If exposure was in connection with your employment, use the list of occupation and industry codes in Part III to indicate your occupation and the industry in which you worked. For your convenience, additional copies of Part V are attached as Appendix F to this Questionnaire.

G. PART VI - Employment History

In Part VI, please provide the information requested for each industrial job you have held, other than jobs already listed in Parts III or V. Use the list of occupation and industry codes in the instructions to Part III to indicate your occupation and the industry in which you worked for each job. Please use the copy of Part VI attached as Appendix G to this Questionnaire if additional space is needed.

H. PART VII - Litigation and Claims Regarding Asbestos and/or Silica

In Part VII, please describe any lawsuits and/or claims that were filed by you or on your behalf regarding asbestos or silica.

I. PART VIII -- Claims by Dependents or Related Persons

Part VIII is to be completed only by dependents or related persons (such as spouse or child) of an injured person who sued the Debtors before April 2, 2001 for an asbestos-related personal injury or wrongful death claim against Grace not involving physical injury to him-/herself on account of his/her own exposure. One example of such a claim would be a claim for loss of consortium. If you are asserting such a claim, complete the entire Questionnaire, providing all information and documentation regarding the injured person.

J. PART IX - Supporting Documentation

In Part IX, please mark the boxes next to each type of document that you are submitting with this Questionnaire. As indicated in the instructions to Parts II and III, this Questionnaire must be accompanied by copies, with access to originals upon request, of any and all documents you, your counsel, or your doctors have or subsequently obtain that (a) support or conflict with your diagnosis and/or (b) establish exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products as having a substantial causal role in the development of the medical diagnoses, and/or conditions claimed. Original documents provided to Grace will be returned within a reasonable time after its professionals and experts have reviewed the documents.

Grace will reimburse your reasonable expenses incurred in providing (a) copies of depositions you have given in lawsuits in which Grace was not a party and/or (b) any documents you have previously provided to Grace in prior litigation. Please indicate the documents for which you are seeking reimbursement and attach a receipt for such cost.

K. PART X -- Attestation that Information is True, Accurate and Complete

By signing Part X, you, the injured person, are attesting and swearing, under penalty of perjury, that, to the best of your knowledge, all of the information in this Questionnaire is true, accurate and complete. If the injured person is deceased, then the executor of the person's will (or similar estate representative) must complete and sign Part X on behalf of the injured person.

The legal representative of the injured person must complete and sign Part X where indicated.

PARTI PIDENTITY OF INJURED PERSON AND LEGAL COUNSELS

7	WR GRACE	PIQ	56389-0005

a,	GENERAL INFORMATION				WHG	RACE PI	Q 58389-0005
	MAIL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOT	/					
1.	Name of Claimant: First MI	•	Last	Jender:	⊠ Ma	ale 🗆	l Female
3.	Race (for purposes of evaluating Pulmonary Function Test re:	anles).		P 16	_ v	1 : (C)	,
<i>J</i> .	Nace (101 purposes of evaluating I unnotary Function 16st Fe	suns);	See Attached PF	, ii appiicadie.		'hite/Cau frican Ar	
	75.77					ther	icipoati
4.	Last Four Digits of Social Security Number:	DACTE	D 3. Birt	h Date:			_
6.	Mailing Address: Address	0 *•		A			
~		City		State/Province	Zi	ip/Postal	Code
7.	Daytime Telephone Number:				 -		
b.	LAWYER'S NAME AND FIRM		A				
1.	Name of Lawyer: Jena LeBlanc Duncan						
2.	Name of Law Firm With Which Lawyer is Affiliated:	_Le	Blanc & Waddell, LLP				
3.	Mailing Address of Firm: 2711 N. Haskell Ave. 5th FI	L - LB 32	Dallas	Texas		75204	
	Address		City	State/Province	æ	Zip/Post	al Code
4.	Law Firm's Telephone Number or Lawyer's Direct Line:	•••••		(214) 805-9799)		
	Check this box if you would like the Debtors to send subseq to you.	quent materia	relating to your claim to	your lawyer, in	lieu of se	ending s	uch materials
c.	CAUSE OF DEATH (IF APPLICABLE)						
1.							
1.	Is the injured person living or deceased?				ving		Deceased
,	If deceased, date of death:			02/04/1999			
2.	If the injured person is deceased, then attach a copy of the dea following:	ith certificat	on to this Questionnair	and complete t	the		
	Primary Cause of Death (as stated in the Death Certifica	ite):	See attached	death certificate			
	Contributing Cause of Death (as stated in the Death Cert	tificate):		ached death cert	ificate		
X	PARTII: ASBEST	TOŠ RELA	ED CONDITIONS				
Same or dia	the box next to the conditions with which you have been diagnose ionnaire. If you have been diagnosed with multiple conditions and condition by multiple doctors, please complete a separate Part II for gnostic tests that change or conflict with the initial diagnosis. For hidix C to this Questionnaire. Subject to the foregoing objections	l/or if you red r each initial o or your conve	eived diagnoses and diag liagnosis and any previou nience, additional conies	nostic tests relati s or subsequent d	ng to the	:	
1.	Please check the box next to the condition being alleged:						
		Mesothelioma	l .				
		Other Cance	r (cancer not related to	lung cancer or	mesoth	elioma)	
			ere Asbestosis				
	a. Mesothelioma: If alleging Mesothelioma, were you diagnos			d on the following	ng (chec	k all that	apply):
	diagnosis from a pathologist certified by the American						
	diagnosis from a second pathologist certified by the An						
	 diagnosis and documentation supporting exposure to Grof the condition other (please specify): 	irace asbestos	-containing products hav	ing a substantial	casual ro	ole in the	development
	other (please specify):						

PART II. ASBESTOS-RELATED CONDITION(S) (Continued)

-									
٦ŋ	WR (GRA	CE	P10	ŧ	563	88	-00	88

b.	Asbe (chec	stos- k ali	Related Lung Cancer: If alleging Asbestos-Related Lung Cancer, were you diagnosed with primary lung can that apply):
		find	ings by a pathologist certified by the American Board of Pathology
		set	lence of asbestosis based on a chest x-ray reading of at least 1/1 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in compliance with the standards orth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses and (b) by a B-reader ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
		set :	lence of asbestosis based on a chest x-ray reading of at least 1/1 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in compliance with the standards forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses and (b) by a second eader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
		evic	lence of asbestosis determined by pathology
		con	lence of asbestos-related nonmalignant disease based on a chest x-ray reading of at least 1/0 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in upliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of umoconioses and (b) by a B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
		con	lence of asbestos-related nonmalignant disease based on a chest x-ray reading of at least 1/0 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in upliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of umoconioses and (b) by a second B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
		diff of R	use pleural thickening as defined in the International Labour Organization's Guidelines for the Use of the ILO International Classification adiographs and Pneumoconioses (2000)
		a su	apporting medical diagnosis and supporting documentation establishing that exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products had a stantial causal role in the development of the lung cancer
		othe	er (please specify):
c.	Othe	er Ca	ncer:
	(i)	Ifa	leging Other Cancer, please mark the box(es) next to the applicable primary cancer(s) being alleged:
			colon □ pharyngeal □ esophageal □ laryngeal □ stomach cancer
			other, please specify:
	(ii)	Wei	e you diagnosed with the above-indicated cancer based on the following (check all that apply):
			findings by a pathologist certified by the American Board of Pathology
			evidence of asbestosis based on a chest x-ray reading of at least 1/1 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses and (b) by a B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
			evidence of asbestosis based on a cliest x-ray reading of at least 1/1 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses and (b) by a second B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
			evidence of asbestosis determined by pathology
			a supporting medical diagnosis and supporting documentation establishing that exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products had a substantial causal role in the development of the cancer
			other (please specify):

d.

PARTIL: ASBESTOS-RELATED CONDITION(S),(Continued)	
Clinically Severe Asbestosis: If alleging Clinically Severe Asbestosis, was your diagnosis based on the following	WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0007

		diagnosis of a pulmonologist or internist certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine
		a chest x-ray reading of at least 2/1 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses and (b) by a B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
		a chest x-ray reading of at least 2/1 on the ILO grade scale (a) conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses and (b) by a second B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
		asbestosis determined by pathology
		a pulmonary function test, conducted in accordance with the standards set forth in the American Thoracic Society's Lung Function Testing; Selection of Reference Values and Interpretive Strategies, demonstrating total lung capacity less than 65% predicted
		a pulmonary function test, conducted in accordance with the standards set forth in the American Thoracic Society's Lung Function Testing; Selection of Reference Values and Interpretive Strategies, demonstrating forced vital capacity less than 65% predicted and a FEV1/FVC ratio greater than or equal to 65% predicted
		a supporting medical diagnosis and supporting documentation establishing that exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products had a substantial causal role in the development of the asbestosis
		other (please specify):
•	Asbe more	stosis: If alleging Asbestosis, was your diagnosis based on the following (check all that apply): Subject to the foregoing objections, one or of the following may apply. The answer may be derived from the attached medical documentation.
		diagnosis of a pulmonologist or internist certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine
		a chest x-ray reading conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses by a B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, with one of the following: (i) at least 1/0 on the ILO grade scale, or (ii) diffuse pleural thickening as defined in the ILO's Guidelines for the Use of the ILO International Classification of Radiographs and Pneumoconioses (2000)
		a chest x-ray reading conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses by a second B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, with one of the following: (i) at least 1/0 on the ILO grade scale, or (ii) diffuse pleural thickening as defined in the ILO's Guidelines for the Use of the ILO International Classification of Radiographs and Pneumoconioses (2000)
		asbestosis determined by pathology
	0	a pulmonary function test, conducted in accordance with the standards set forth in the American Thoracic Society's Lung Function Testing; Selection of Reference Values and Interpretive Strategies, demonstrating a FEV1/FVC ratio greater than or equal to 65% predicted with either (a) total lung capacity less than 80% predicted or (b) forced vital capacity less than 80% predicted
		a supporting medical diagnosis and supporting documentation establishing that exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products had a substantial causal role in the development of the asbestosis
		Substitution odds in Fore in the development of the aspestosis

e k		PARTIL=ASBESTOS-RELATED CONDITION(S) (Continued) WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0008
	Othe: based	r Asbestos Disease: If alleging any asbestos-related injuries, medical diagnoses, and/or conditions other than tho your magnosis on the following (check all that apply):
		diagnosis of a pulmonologist or internist certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine
		diagnosis determined by pathology
		a chest x-ray conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses by a B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, with one of the following (i) at least 1/0 on the ILO grade scale, or (ii) diffuse pleural thickening as defined in the ILO's Guidelines for the Use of the ILO International Classification of Radiographs and Pneumoconioses (2000)
		a chest x-ray conducted in compliance with the standards set forth in the International Labour Organization's 2000 International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses by a second B-reader certified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, with one of the following (i) at least 1/0 on the ILO grade scale, or (ii) diffuse pleural thickening as defined in the ILO's Guidelines for the Use of the ILO International Classification of Radiographs and Pneumoconioses (2000)
		a chest x-ray reading other than those described above
		a pulmonary function test, conducted in accordance with the standards set forth in the American Thoracic Society's Lung Function Testing; Selection of Reference Values and Interpretive Strategies, demonstrating a FEV1/FVC ratio greater than or equal to 65% predicted with either (a) total lung capacity less than 80% predicted or (b) forced vital capacity less than 80% predicted
		a pulmonary function test other than that discussed above
		a supporting medical diagnosis and supporting documentation establishing that exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products had a substantial causal role in the development of the condition
		A CT Scan or similar testing

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

a diagnosis other than those above

other (please specify):

attached hereto.	iagnosis Subject to the	foregoing objections, ple	ase refer to claimant's respon	ses below and memerican reco
Date of Diagnosis:				
Diagnosing Doctor's Name	e: <u>Segarra, Jay</u>	Т.		
Diagnosing Doctor's Speci	ialty:			
Diagnosing Doctor's Maili	ng Address:	2123 Government Street Address		
Ocean Springs			MS	39564
City		,	State/Province	Zip/Postal Code
Diagnosing Doctor's Dayti	ime Telephone Number		228-872-2411	
With respect to your relat	ionship to the diagnosi	ng doctor, check all applic	cable boxes: Subject to the fo	regoing objections, please refer
Was the diagnosing doct	or your personal physici	an?	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	🗆 Yes 🗖 🗎
			rmed?	
If yes, please indicate who	ho paid for the services p	erformed:		☐ Yes ☐ No
		-		
was the diagnosting noctor it	eleffed to you by counse	#f	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Li Yes Li No
Are you aware of any relation	onship between the diagn	osing doctor and your lega	l counsel?	🛘 Yes 🗓 No
If yes, please explain:				
at the time of the diagnosis	s?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	American Board of Internal	□ Yes □
Was the diagnosing diagnosis?	doctor certified as	a pathologist by th	ac American Board of	Pathology at the time of
Was the diagnosing	doctor provided v	vith your complete		
diagnosis?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	See attached medica	occupational, medical : documentation, if applicable	and smoking history pric <u>c</u> . \square Yes \square
	•••••	See attached medica	occupational, medical a documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap	g. ☐ Yes ☐
Did the diagnosing doctor	perform a physical exa	See attached medical mination? See attached	documentation, if applicable	e.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobas	perform a physical exa	See attached medical mination? See attached interrog	l documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap atory responses, if applicable	e. ☐ Yes ☐ plicable. ☐ Yes ☐ ☐ Yes ☐
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobac Have you ever used tobacc	perform a physical exa eco products? co products? is yes, please indicate wh	mination? See attached interrog See attached interrog See attached interrog control of the seed of	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap- catory responses, if applicable ached interrogatory response ased any of the following tobaca	e. ☐ Yes ☐ plicable. ☐ Yes ☐ ☐ Yes ☐
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products to Cigarettes P	perform a physical exa eco products? co products? is yes, please indicate wh	See attached medical mination? See attached interrogatory responses,	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if applicable ached interrogatory response used any of the following tobaccif applicable. Ched Start Year actory es, if	plicable.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products w Cigarettes Cigarettes Cigarettes	perform a physical exacco products? is yes, please indicate where used: See attached acks Per Day (half pactigars Per Day	See attached medical mination? See attached interrog See attached interrog See attached interrogatory responses, See Attached interrogatory responses, See Attached interrogatory responses, See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable.	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if applicable ached interrogatory response used any of the following tobaccif applicable. Ched Start Year actory es, if	e.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products w Cigarettes Cigarettes If Other Tobacco Pro	perform a physical exacco products?	See attached medical mination? See attached interrog See attached interrog See attached interrogatory responses, See Attached interrogatory responses, See Attached interrogatory responses, See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable.	documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap ratory responses, if applicable ached interrogatory response red any of the following tobace if applicable. ched Start Year atory es, if	e.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products w □ Cigarettes P □ Cigars □ If Other Tobacco Pro	perform a physical exacco products? to products? is yes, please indicate where used: See attached acks Per Day (half pactigars Per Day ducts, please specify (comount Per Day	See attached medical mination? See attached interrogatery responses. k = .5) See Attached interrogatery responses. k = .5) See Attached interrogatery responses. g, chewing tobacco):	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap tatory responses, if applicable ached interrogatory response to any of the following tobace if applicable. ched Start Year altery es, if ite Start Year Start Year	e.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products w Cigarettes P Cigarettes P GI Cigars CO Have you ever been diagnose attached medical documents.	perform a physical exacco products? to products? is yes, please indicate where used: See attached acks Per Day (half pactigars Per Day ducts, please specify (comount Per Day osed with chronic obstrumentation, if applicable	See attached medical mination? See attached interroge See attached interroge See attached interrogatory responses, k = .5) See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable See, chewing tobacco):	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap tatory responses, if applicable ached interrogatory response tised any of the following tobace if applicable. ched Start Year action tory tes, if te Start Year Start Year Start Year	e.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products w Cigarettes P Cigarettes P Cigars C If Other Tobacco Pro A Have you ever been diagnot See attached medical docu If yes, please attach all docu	perform a physical exa eco products? is yes, please indicate where used: See attached acks Per Day (half pac eigars Per Day ducts, please specify (e mount Per Day esed with chronic obstrumentation, if applicable uments regarding such	See attached medical mination? See attached interroge See attached interroge See attached interrogatory responses, interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached See A	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap tatory responses, if applicable ached interrogatory response tised any of the following tobace if applicable. ched Start Year action tory tes, if te Start Year Start Year Start Year	e.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products to Cigarettes P Cigarettes P Cigars C If Other Tobacco Pro A Have you ever been diagnose attached medical docu See attached medical docu See attached medical docu See attached medical docu See attached medical docu	perform a physical exacco products?	See attached medical mination? See attached interroge See attached interroge See attached interrogatory responses, interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached See A	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap tatory responses, if applicable ached interrogatory response tised any of the following tobace if applicable. ched Start Year action tory tes, if te Start Year Start Year Start Year	e.
Did the diagnosing doctor Do you currently use tobact Have you ever used tobacc If answer to either question with which such products w Cigarettes P Cigarettes P Cigars C If Other Tobacco Pro A Have you ever been diagnot See attached medical docu If yes, please attach all docu	perform a physical exacco products?	See attached medical mination? See attached interroge See attached interroge See attached interrogatory responses, interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached interrogatory responses, applicable See Attached See A	I documentation, if applicable medical documentation, if ap tatory responses, if applicable ached interrogatory response tised any of the following tobace if applicable. ched Start Year action tory tes, if te Start Year Start Year Start Year	e.

State/Province

Zip/Postal Code

City

Information Regard	ing Chest X-Ray Reading Subject to the foregoing objection	ons, please refer to responses		TUNNUE PI	1 583	
documentation.	04/20/1004	W 0	1.10			
		ILO score:	1/0			
		000.000.0444				_
•	Idress: 2123 Government Street	228-8/2-2411				_
Ocean Springs				39564		
City		Province			Code	;
With respect to your	relationship to the reader, check all applicable boxes: <u>Sub</u>	ject to the foregoing objection	ns, please i	refer to res	oonse	ş
Was the reader paid for	or the services that he/she performed	******		⊠ Yes		
If yes, please indicate	who paid for the services performed: Claim	ant paid for the services through	oh his/her c	ounsel		
				☐ Yes		_
Claimant objects to answered with either	Address an Springs MS State/Province Zip/Postal Code the respect to your relationship to the reader, check all applicable boxes: Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to responses the reader paid for the services that he/she performed The reader paid for the services that he/she performed: State/Province Zip/Postal Code the reader paid for the services that he/she performed Wes 1 State/Province Zip/Postal Code the reader paid for the services that he/she performed Wes 1 State/Province Zip/Postal Code the reader paid for the services that he/she performeds Wes 1 The state paid for the services through his/her counsel. Wes 1 The reader referred to you by counsel? Wes 1 The reader referred to you by counsel? The reader referred to you by counsel? The doctor was retained by Claimant through Claimant's counsel to provide extremedical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit as needed to establish the elements of Claimant's claim under that we have a law. Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1					
Are you aware of any	relationship between the reader and your legal counsel?			□ Yes		
If yes, please explain:	answered with either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor w	as retained by Claimant through	h Claimant	's counsel to	nrov	id
Was the reader certi	state law.		_		iaim t	ıŋ
If the reader is not a	state law. fied by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and continuous certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, sp	Health at the time of the read	ling?			
If the reader is not a which the reading wa	state law. ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spansoness made:	Health at the time of the read	ling?			
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard	state law. ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spans made: ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A	Health at the time of the read	ling?		0	_
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in fo	state law. ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spannede: ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A eet and inches when test given:	Health at the time of the read	ling?		0	_
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in fa	state law. ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spanned: ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A cet and inches when test given:	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes		n
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in folist your weight in F	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and secretified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spannede: Ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A Sect and inches when test given: Sounds when test given: (TLC):	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes		'n
If the reader is not a which the reading was Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in particular Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capaci	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and secretified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spannede: Ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A Sect and inches when test given: Sounds when test given: (TLC):	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes	of pree	no di
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in E List your weight in E Total Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio:	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spanies made: ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A eet and inches when test given: ounds when test given: (TLC): ty (FVC):	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes	of pree	n di
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in fo List your weight in particular Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEVI/FVC Ratio;	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and secretified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spannede: Ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A Sect and inches when test given: Sounds when test given: (TLC):	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes	i of pred	n di
If the reader is not a which the reading was Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in protal Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Perforcer's Specialty:	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, sp. sp. made: ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A eet and inches when test given: pounds when test given: (TLC): ty (FVC): forming Test (if applicable):	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes	i of pred	in die
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in protal Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Perf Doctor's Specialty: Name of Clinician Po	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spannede: Ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A Leet and inches when test given: Lounds when test given: Lounds (TLC): Lounds (If applicable):	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes	i of pred	be predic
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in protal Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Perf Doctor's Specialty: Name of Clinician Po	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, sp. sp. made: ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A eet and inches when test given: pounds when test given: (TLC): ty (FVC): forming Test (if applicable):	Health at the time of the read	ling?	⊠ Yes	i of pred	in die
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in Fotal Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Periodoctor's Specialty: Name of Clinician Potesting Doctor or Cl	state law. Iffied by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Institute for Occupational Safety a	Health at the time of the read-	ling?	✓ Yes % (of precent of precent of the precent	die
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in Fotal Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Periodoctor's Specialty: Name of Clinician Potesting Doctor or Cl	state law. Iffied by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and secretified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spanials made: Ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A Leet and inches when test given: Lounds when test given: Lounds when test given: Lounds (TLC): Lounds (FVC): Lounds (If applicable): Lounds (If applicable	Health at the time of the read-	ling?	✓ Yes % (of precent of precent of the precent	die
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in Fotal Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Periodoctor's Specialty: Name of Clinician Potesting Doctor or Cl	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and certified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, sp. sp. made: ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A eet and inches when test given: oounds when test given: of (TLC): ty (FVC): forming Test (if applicable): cerforming Test (if applicable): linician's Mailing Address: Address State State linician's Daytime Telephone Number:	Health at the time of the read- pecialty, and the method thron Date of T	ling?	✓ Yes % (of precent of precent of the precent	die
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in E List your weight in E Total Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FEV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Perf Doctor's Specialty: Name of Clinician Perf City Testing Doctor or Cl	state law. Ified by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and secretified B-reader, please describe the reader's occupation, spanials made: Ing Pulmonary Function Test: N/A Leet and inches when test given: Lounds when test given: Lounds when test given: Lounds (TLC): Lounds (If applicable): Lounds (If a	Health at the time of the read- pecialty, and the method thron Date of T	ling?	✓ Yes % (of precent of precent of the precent	die
If the reader is not a which the reading wa Information Regard List your height in for List your weight in protal Lung Capacity Forced Vital Capacity FeV1/FVC Ratio: Name of Doctor Period Doctor's Specialty: Name of Clinician Potential Doctor or Clivicating Doctor or Clivicating Doctor or Clivicating Doctor or Clivicating Doctor or Clinicating Doctor Interview Washington Doctor Doctor Interview Washington Doctor Doctor Interview Washington Doctor Doctor Interview Washington Doctor Doct	state law. Iffied by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Institute for Occupational Safety a	Health at the time of the read- pecialty, and the method thron Date of T	ling?	✓ Yes % (of precent of precent of the precent	dic

V T	PART-II: ASBESTOS-RELATED CONDITION(S) (Continued)	GRA	CE PIO	583	39-0011
	With respect to your relationship to the doctor or clinician who performed the pulmonary function test check all approximation			~ <u></u>	
	If the test was performed by a doctor, was the doctor your personal physician?		Yes		No
	Was the testing doctor and/or clinician paid for the services he/she performed?		Yes		Νο
	Did you retain counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the testing doctor or clinician?		Yes		No
	Was the testing doctor or clinician referred to you by counsel?	. 🗆	Yes		No
	Are you aware of any relationship between either the doctor or clinician and your legal counsel?	. 🗆	Yes		No
	Was the testing doctor certified as a pulmonologist or internist by the American Board of Internal Medicine at the time of the pulmonary function test?		Yes		No
	With respect to your relationship to the doctor interpreting the results of the pulmonary function test cheek all applic			Ļ	140
	Was the doctor your personal physician?	ante			No
	Was the doctor paid for the services that he/she performed?				
	If yes, please indicate who paid for the services performed:		165	ч	140
	Did you retain counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the doctor?		Yes	0	No
	Was the doctor referred to you by counsel?				No
	Are you aware of any relationship between the doctor and your legal counsel?				No
	If yes, please explain				
	Was the doctor interpreting the pulmonary function test results certified as a pulmonologist or internist by the American Board of Internal Medicine at the time the test results were reviewed?		Yes		No
6.	Information Regarding Pathology Reports:				
	Date of Pathology Report:				
	Findings:				
	Name of Doctor Issuing Report:				
	Doctor's Specialty:				
	Doctor's Mailing Address:				_
	Address				
	City State/Province	Z	ip/Posta	al Coc	le
	Doctor's Daytime Telephone Number:				
	Was the doctor your personal physician?		Vaa		NI-
	Was the doctor paid for the services that he/she performed?				No No
			165	L	No
	Did you retain counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the doctor?		Van		NI.
	Was the doctor referred to you by counsel?				No No
	Are you aware of any relationship between the doctor and your legal counsel?			_	
	If yes, please explain:		165		No
	Was the doctor certified as a pathologist by the American Board of Pathology at the time of the diagnosis?				
	·				No
, Y	PART II: ASBESTOS RELATED CONDITION(S) (Continued)		Yes	17.7	ŢŢ.
7.	With respect to the condition alleged, have you received medical treatment from a doctor for the condition? Please refolications.	er to	the for	egoin	g
	If yes, please complete the following:		Yes		No

Name of Treating Doctor: Treating Doctor's Specialty:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	R GRAI	E PIQ	5838	9-0012
Treating Doctor's Mailing Address:	Address				
City	State/Province	Zi	p/Posta	l Cod	e
	mber:			·	·
Was the doctor paid for the services that	he/she performed?		Yes		No
If yes, please indicate who paid for the serv	ices performed:				
Did you retain counsel in order to receive	any of the services performed by the doctor?	. 🗆	Yes		No

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

S PARTIII DIRECTEXPOSURE TO GRACE ASBESTOS CONTAINING PRODUCTS ...

Please complete the chart below for each site at which you allege exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products. If you allege exposure at multiple sites, the Court has ordered that you must complete a separate chart for each site. For your convenience, additional copies of Part III are attached as Appendix D to this Questionnaire.

If exposure was in connection with your employment, use the list of occupation and industry codes in the Instructions to Part III to indicate your occupation and the industry in which you worked.

In the "Nature of Exposure" column, for each job listed, please indicate the letter(s) corresponding to whether you were any of the following during your exposure:

ਉ

s worker who personally mixed Grace asbestos-containing products	s worker who personally removed or cut Grace asbestos-containing products
A wor	A wor
(a)	(P)

A worker at a site where Grace asbestos-containing products were being installed, mixed, removed or cut by others

A worker who personally removed or cut Grace asbestos-containing pr	A worker who personally installed Grace asbestos-containing products
(છ

 (e) A worker in a space where Grace asbestos-containing products were being installed, mixed, removed or cut by others

If other, please specify

€

icable.			
vicctions, please refer to the attached WHS, interrogatory responses, Statement of Earnings and/or depositions, if applicable	Location:		Unions of which you were a member during your employment:
refer to the attacl	=	Site Owner:	
		☐ Business	
ite of Exposure: <u>Subject to the foregoing o</u>		Site Type: Residence	Employer During Exposure:
Site of Exposure:	Site Name:	Site Type:	Employer Di

						WR GR
Nature of Expospere						
Dates and Frequency Code Code Paround's reas where productives being to Code Code Productives where productives being to Code Code Installed mixed; removed 9-rant (hours/day, days); specify Titles Titles Incides Incides (hours/day, days); and the Code Titles Code Code Code Code Code Code Code Code						
inon Industry Wa c. Code: Inrom 59 (Code: Inrom 76 (18						
nd Frequency Countries (1994)						
Basis for Date entitieation of Each						
Basis for Product(s) Identification of Each						
	Job 1 Description:	Job 2 Description:	Job 3 Description:	Job 4 Description:	Job 5 Description:	Job 6 Description:

	PARTELY: INDIRECT EXPOSURE TO GRACE ASSESTOS CONTAINING PRODUC
1.	Are you asserting an injury caused by exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products through contact/proxim
	with another injured person? Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to attached WHS, interrogatory Yes No responses and deposition, if applicable. If yes, complete questions 2 through 10 of this section for each injured person through which you allege exposure to Grace as bestos-containing
	products. For your convenience, additional copies of Part IV are attached as Appendix E to this Questionnaire.
2.	Please indicate the following information regarding the other injured person: <u>Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to the attached WHS, interrogatory responses and deposition, if applicable.</u>
	Name of Other Injured Person: Gender: Male Female
	Last Four Digits of Social Security Number: Birth Date://
3.	What is your Relationship to Other Injured Person: Subject to the foregoing objections, please
4.	Nature of Other Injured Person's Exposure to Grace Asbestos-Containing Products:
	Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to attached WHS, interrogatory responses and deposition, if applicable,
5.	Dates Other Injured Person was Exposed to Grace Asbestos-Containing Products: Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to attached WHS, interrogatory responses and deposition, if applicable.
	From: To:
6.	Other Injured Person's Basis for Identification of Asbestos-Containing Product as Grace Product:
	Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to attached WHS, interrogatory responses and deposition, if applicable,
7.	Has the Other Injured Person filed a lawsuit related to his/her exposure? Subject to the foregoing objections, please
	If yes, please provide caption, case number, file date, and court name for the lawsuit:
	Caption:
	Case Number: File Date:
	Court Name:
8.	Nature of Your Own Exposure to Grace Asbestos-Containing Product:
	Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to attached WHS, interrogatory responses and deposition, if applicable,
9.	Dates of Your Own Exposure to Grace Asbestos-Containing Product: <u>Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to attached WHS, interrogatory responses and deposition, if applicable.</u>
	From:/ To:/
10.	Your Basis for Identification of Asbestos-Containing Product as Grace Product:
	Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to attached WHS, interrogatory responses and deposition, if applicable.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

PARITY EXPOSURETO NON-GRACE ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS

Please complete the chart below for each party against which you have filed a lawsuit and/or claim alleging exposure to asbestos-containing products other than Grace products. If you filed such lawsuits and/or claims against multiple parties. the Court has ordered that you must complete a separate chart for each party. For your convenience, additional copies of Part V are attached as-Appendix F to this Questionnaire.

If exposure was in connection with your employment, use the list of occupation and industry codes in the Instructions to Part III to indicate your occupation and the industry in which you worked. In the "Nature of Exposure" column, for each product listed, please indicate the letter(s) corresponding to whether you were any of the following during your exposure:

9

(e)

A worker who personally mixed Non-Grace asbestos-containing products (a)

A worker at a site where Non-Grace asbestos-containing products were being installed, mixed, removed or cut by others.

> A worker who personally removed or cut Non-Grace asbestos-containing products <u>(</u>9

A worker in a space where Non-Grace asbestos-containing products were being installed.

A worker who personally installed Non-Grace asbestos-containing products

If other, please specify. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

	ing in oil	was being Sapposare	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Was exposure due to work	mound arras mires product installed, mixed, removed	ala in a substantia de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la
	Justry	adel 118 pecific	
) <u>y</u> 	
	vioituo	de 59	
	inoco.		3% 8. V
		5 5	N N
•		Product(s) Exposuce (boursed	
	earty Against which Lawsuit or Claim was Filed:	See Attached List of Parties Against Which Lawsuit or Claim Was Filed.	

		I	
		ľ	
		l	
	.,	l	
l	able		
	pplic		
	, ifa	I	
١	ition	١	
١	lepos	I	
l	s and d		
١	cets a	ŀ	
	ry sh		
	isto	١	
	ork l	l	
l	er w		
	Work	l	
l	-00 5	l	
l	nses	ŀ	
	respo		
	ory		
	roga	l	
	nter	l	
	HS, i	l	
l	M Pa	ŀ	
	tache	l	
	ee at	l	
	on, s	ŀ	_
	mati	l	
	infor	١	•
	ure	l	
	odxa	l	
	for (ŀ	
l	ions,		
	bject		
	o Su		
	regor		,
	he fo		
	t to t		1
	rbjec		•
	S		

Subject to the foregoing objections, for exposure information, see attached WHS, interrogatory responses, co-worker work listory sheets and deposition, if applicable.	r exposure information	, see attached	WHS, interrogator	y responses,	co-worker w	ork history s	icets and dep	sition, if applic	able.	
Site of Exposure 1	Job 1 Description:									
Site Name:										
Address:	Job 2 Description:									
City and State:										
Site Owner:	Job 3 Description:									<u> </u>
Site of Exposure 2	Job I Description:									
Site Name:		-								
Address:	Job 2 Description:									
City and State:										
Site Owner:	Job 3 Description:									<u> </u>
Site of Expasure 3	Job 1 Description:									-
Site Name:	•									
Address:	Job 2 Description:									
City and State:										W
Site Owner:	Job 3 Description:									R GRA
										CE
										PiQ 5
		•								 638
										 9-0

	gart vi; emplo	YMENT HISTORY	WR GRACE PID 56389-0016
Other than jobs listed in Part III or V, pleass For each job, include your employer, locati use the copy of Part VI attached as Apper attached Itemized Statement of Earning	on of employment, and dates of emplo idix G to this Questionnaire if addition	syment. Only include jobs at which yonal space is needed. Subject to the	and including your current output
Occupation Code:	If Code 59, specify:		
Industry Code:	If Code 118, specify:		
Employer:			
Beginning of Employment:	_//	End of Employment:	
Location: Address			
City		State/Province	Zip/Postal Code
Occupation Code:	If Code 59, specify:		
Industry Code:	If Code 118, specify:		
Employer:			
Beginning of Employment:	_//	End of Employment:	
Location:			
Address			
City		State/Province	Zip/Postal Code
Occupation Code:	If Code 59, specify:		
Industry Code:	If Code 118, specify:		
Employer:			
Beginning of Employment:	_//	End of Employment:	//
Location:			
Address			
City		State/Province	Zip/Postal Code
Occupation Code:	If Code 59, specify:		
Industry Code:	lf Code 118, specify:		
Employer:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Beginning of Employment:	_//	End of Employment:	/
Location:		-	
Address			

State/Province

Zip/Postal Code

City

PARTLYII: LÍTIGATION AND CLAIMS REGARDING ASBESTOS AND/OR SILICA.

1	1111111				
4	MM				
ĺ,	WR GR	ACE	PiQ	56389	-001

a.	LITIGATION Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to Claimant's responses below. Claiman limited to the asbestos-related lawsuit where Debtor was sued.	t's re	spons	es ar	<u>e</u>		
1.	Have you ever been a plaintiff in a lawsuit regarding asbestos or silica?	×	Yes		No		
	If yes, please complete the rest of this Part VII(a) for each lawsuit. For your convenience, additional copies of las Appendix G to this Questionnaire.	Part V	/II are	attac	ched		
2.	Please provide the caption, case number, file date, and court name for the lawsuit you filed:						
	Caption:						
	Case Number: REDACTED File Date:_						
	Court Name:	~					
3.	Was Grace a defendant in the lawsuit?	Ø	Yes		No		
4.	Was the lawsuit dismissed against any defendant? <u>Subject to the foregoing objections</u> , see attached <u>List of Parties</u> , if applicable.	D	Yes		No		
	If yes, please provide the basis for dismissal of the lawsuit against each defendant:						
٠.	Please refer to foregoing objections.						
5.	Has a judgment or verdict been entered? <u>Subject to the foregoing objections, see attached List of Parties, if applicable.</u>		Yes		No		
	If yes, please indicate verdict amount for each defendant(s): Please refer to the foregoing objection	ış.					
6.	Was a settlement agreement reached in this lawsuit? Subject to the foregoing objections, please see attached List of Parties, if applicable.		Yes		No		
	If yes and the settlement was reached on or after April 2, 2001, please indicate the following:						
	a. Settlement amount for each defendant: Please refer to the foregoing objections.						
	b. Applicable defendants: Subject to the foregoing objections, please see attached List of Parties, if applicable.						
	c. Disease or condition alleged: Subject to the foregoing objections, please see attached List of Pr				ble.		
	d. Disease or condition settled (if different than disease or condition alleged): Subject to the fulcase see attace if applicable.						
7.	Were you deposed in this lawsuit?		Yes	ĪΣΊ	No		
	If yes and Grace was not a party in the lawsuit, please attach a copy of your deposition to this Questionnaire.						
b.	CLAIMS <u>Subject to the foregoing objections</u> , please refer to <u>Claimant's responses below</u> . <u>Claimant's resto asbestos-related personal injury claims</u> .	pons	es are	limi	ted		
1.	Have you ever asserted a claim regarding asbestos and/or silica, including but not limited to a claim again asbestos trust (other than a formal lawsuit in court)?		n Yes		No		
	If yes, please complete the rest of this Part VII(b). If no, please skip to Part VIII.						
2.	Date the claim was submitted: <u>Subject to the foregoing objections, please see</u> /attached List of Parties, if applicable.	/					
3.	Person or entity against whom the claim was submitted: Subject to the foregoing objections, please see attached List of Parties, if applicable.						
1.	Description of claim: Subject to the foregoing objections, please see attached List of Parties, if applie	able.					
5.	Was claim settled? Subject to the foregoing objections, please see attached List of Parties, if applicable.		Yes	□	No		
5.	Please indicate settlement amount: Please refer to the foregoing objections.						
7.	Was the claim dismissed or otherwise disallowed or not honored? <u>Subject to the foregoing objections</u> , <u>please see attached List of Parties, if applicable</u> .		Yes		No		
	If yes, provide the basis for dismissal of the claim: Please refer to the foregoing objections.						

PART VIII. CLAIMS BY DEPENDANTS OR RELATED PERSONS.

WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0018

Name of Dependent or Related Person: N/A	Gender: Male F						
	Birth Date:						
Financially Dependent:							
Relationship to Injured Party:							
	Under If other, please specify						
Mailing Address: Address							
City	State/Province Zip/Postal Co						
Daytime Telephone number:							
PART IX SUPPOR	TING DOCUMENTATION						
Please use the checklists below to indicate which documents you a							
Copies:	D v						
Medical records and/or report containing a diagnosis	☐ X-rays						
Lung function test results	☐ X-ray reports/interpretations						
☐ Lung function test interpretations☐ Pathology reports	CT scans						
Supporting documentation of exposure to Grace	 □ CT scan reports/interpretations □ Depositions from lawsuits indicated in Part VII 						
asbestos-containing products	of this Questionnaire						
Supporting documentation of other asbestos exposure ■ Output Description ■ Output Description ■ Output Description □ Output Description □ Output Description □ Output Description □ Output □ Output	☐ Death Certification						
Originals:							
☐ Medical records and/or report containing a diagnosis	☐ Supporting documentation of other asbestos exposure						
☐ Lung function test results	☐ X-rays						
☐ Lung function test interpretations	☐ X-ray reports/interpretations						
☐ Pathology reports	☐ CT scans						
Supporting documentation of exposure to Grace	☐ CT Scan reports/interpretations						
asbestos-containing products Death Certification							
Grace will reimburse your reasonable expenses incurred in providing (a) copies of depositions you have given in lawsuits in which Gra was not a party and/or (b) any documents you have previously provided to Grace in prior litigation. Please indicate the documents for							
was not a party and/or (b) any documents you have previously provided to Grace in prior litigation. Please indicate the documents for which you are seeking reimbursement and attach a receipt for such costs:							
PART X: ALTESTATION THAT IN	FORMATION IS TRUE AND ACCURATE						
The information provided in this Questionnaire must be accurate and truthful. This Questionnaire is an official court document that may							
be used as evidence in any legal proceeding regarding your Claim. The penalty for presenting a fraudulent Questionnaire is a fine of un							
\$500,000 or imprisonment for up to five years, or both. 18 U.S.C. TO BE COMPLETED BY THE INJURED PERSON.	§§ 152 & 3571.						
I swear, <u>under penalty of perjury</u> , that, to the best of my knowled true, accurate and complete.	dge, all of the foregoing information contained in the Questionnai						
Signature: <u>DECEASED</u>	Date://						
Please Print Name:							
TO BE COMDIFTED BY THE LEGAL DEPONDED TO SELECT	A OF THE INJURED PERSON.						
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE							
I swear that, to the best of my knowledge, all of the information co	ontained in this Questionnaire is true, accurate and complete.						
	ontained in this Questionnaire is true, accurate and complete.						

REDACTER

WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0019

LIST OF PARTIES AGAINST WHICH LAWS OF CLAIM WAS FILED
PARTY HAS BITIGATION

Subject to the foregoing objections, please refer to the responses below for a list of each Party against which a lawsuit or claim was filed as requested in Part V of the Questionnaire and for information responsive to Part VII of the Questionnaire.

WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0020

	LISTOF PARTIES AGAINST WI	LISTOR PARTIES AGAINST WHICHLAWS UTTO RELAIM WAS KILED ***	
DATE CLAIM WAS SUBMITTED	ENTITY AGAINST WHOM CLAIM WAS SUBMITTED	DESCRIPTION OF CLAIM	CLAIM STATUS
2/14/2003	Asbestos Claims Management Company fikla National Gypsum Company	ASBESTOS - PERSONAL INJURY	UNRESOLVED
8/28/2003	Babcock & Wilcox Company, The	ASBESTOS - PERSONAL INJURY	SETTLED
11/11/2003	Babcock & Wilcox Company, The	ASBESTOS - PERSONAL INJURY	SETTLED
6/5/1998	Fibreboard Corporation	ASBESTOS - PERSONAL INJURY	UNRESOLVED
5/25/2004	H.K.Porter	ASBESTOS - PERSONAL INJURY	SETTLED
10/25/2004	National Gypsum Company	ASBESTOS - PERSONAL INJURY UNRESOLVED	UNRESOLVED

ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL WIR GRACE PIQ 56388-0021

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE THIS PAGE

REDACTED

005606

Bankruptcy Processing
Questionnaire (Executed)
W.R. GRACE AND CO.



IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	}	Chapter 11
W.R. GRACE & CO., et al	}	Case No. 01-1139(JKF)
Debtors	}	(Jointly Administered)

CLAIMANT'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO THE W.R. GRACE ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY QUESTIONNAIRE

Claimant¹ , by and through his counsel, LeBlanc & Waddell, LLP, submits the following objections and responses to the "W.R. Grace Asbestos Personal Injury Questionnaire" ("Questionnaire"). In order to maintain the integrity of the formatting on Debtor's Questionnaire, Claimant has set out all of his/her general and specific objections in the following separate sections of this response and hereby incorporates each of these objections into Claimant's Questionnaire responses by reference herein.

CLAIMANT'S GENERAL OBJECTIONS TO THE W.R. GRACE ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY OUESTIONNAIRE

Claimant hereby files these objections in response to the Questionnaire propounded by W.R. Grace.

- 1. Claimant objects to the Questionnaire and its Instructions to the extent that they impose discovery obligations beyond those of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and/or the rules of civil procedure in the state where Claimant filed an asbestos personal injury lawsuit. Claimant will respond to the discovery Questionnaire in accordance with those rules. Claimant objects to the Instructions as they make document requests that are overly broad, harassing, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Claimant contends that the burden and expense of responding to Debtor's Questionnaire in the format requested by Debtor outweighs its likely benefit.
- 2. Claimant objects to the Questionnaire and its Instructions to the extent that they seek information or identification of documents that are attorney work product, subject to the attorney-client or consulting expert privileges, or are otherwise not discoverable under the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure or the rules of civil procedure in the state where Claimant filed an asbestos personal injury lawsuit. Claimant further objects to the Questionnaire and its Instructions to the extent it seeks disclosure of facts known or opinions held by any expert who has been retained or specially employed in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial. Inadvertent disclosure of any such information or documents shall not constitute waiver of any privilege.

Throughout these Objections and Responses, the "Claimant" means the person identified in the Questionnaire Part I, Subsection a, whether living or deceased, who has or had an alleged asbestos-related disease and who filed an asbestos-related lawsuit either directly or through his/her Estate.



- 3. By providing responses to the Questionnaire, the claimant does not concede that the information provided is discoverable, relevant, or admissible. All responses are provided subject to the objections set forth herein. Each claimant reserves the right to challenge further discovery into the subject matter of the Questionnaire.
- 4. Claimant objects to any request in the Questionnaire that seeks any information that is not in the Claimant's control, custody, or possession; is already in the control, custody or possession of the Debtor; or is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. The answers to the majority of the questions in Debtor's Questionnaire may be derived or ascertained from interrogatory responses and documents produced in connection with Claimant's asbestos personal injury lawsuit to which Debtor was a party prior to filing for bankruptcy ("Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit"). Because the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Debtor as for Claimant, Claimant has specified in Claimant's Questionnaire response the document from which the answer may be derived as permitted by Rule 33(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as incorporated by Rule 7033 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.
- 5. In response to this Questionnaire, Claimant objects to the extent that the questions are vague, ambiguous and premature. Moreover, Claimant objects to this Questionnaire to the extent that the scope and content of the information sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative.
- 6. Claimant objects to this Questionnaire to the extent it requires Claimant to compile documents or information from sources not presently available to Claimants, including documents created or compiled by third parties. Claimant objects to the Questionnaire to the extent it purports to require Claimant to gather and summarize information contained in voluminous papers that are already matters of public record.
- 7. Claimant objects to this Questionnaire to the extent that the questions and their discreet sub-parts exceed twenty-five (25) in number.
- 8. By submitting this response to the Questionnaire, Claimant does not intend to, and hereby does not, submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, to the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, or to any other court. Claimant reserves (i) all objections to jurisdiction and/or venue, (ii) all protections afforded under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 and Federal Bankruptcy Rule of Procedure 9016, and (iii) the right to jury trial afforded under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).



<u>CLAIMANT'S SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS TO THE W.R. GRACE</u> <u>ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY QUESTIONNAIRE</u>

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. Claimant objects to Instruction A.1 to the extent that it purports to include claims under contract, warranty, guarantee, contribution, joint and several liability, subrogation, reimbursement or indemnity on the ground that it is overly broad and requests information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding.
- 2. Claimant objects to Instruction C in its entirety and Instruction J regarding medical supporting documentation on the ground that it is vague, ambiguous and exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information and documents from experts that is not discoverable and is subject to the work product and consulting expert privileges. Claimant further objects to the portion of the instruction requiring claimant to "complete a separate Part II for each initial diagnosis and any previous or subsequent diagnosis or diagnostic tests that change or conflict with the initial diagnosis", on the ground that the burden outweighs its likely benefit. Claimant further objects to the instruction to attach all x-ray readings and reports and all pulmonary function test ("PFT") results on the ground that it is overly broad and ambiguous. Claimant further objects to the Discovery Questionnaire to the extent that it seeks disclosure of facts known or opinions held by any expert who has been retained or specially employed in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial. Without limiting the foregoing, Claimant objects to the following provisions of the Discovery Questionnaire as violative of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(B):
 - (a). Claimant objects to Section C of the Instructions to the extent that it requests the completion of Part II of the Discovery Questionnaire "if you received diagnoses and diagnostic tests relating to the same condition by multiple doctors."
 - (b). Claimant objects to Section C of the Instructions to the extent that it requests the production of "any and all documents" that "support or conflict with your diagnosis."
 - (c). Claimant objects to Section C of the Instructions to the extent that it requests the production of "all x-ray readings and reports."
 - (d). Claimant objects to Section C of the Instructions to the extent that it requests the production of "all pulmonary function test results, including the raw data and all spirometric tracings, on which the results are based."
 - (e). Claimant objects to Section J of the Instructions to the extent that it requests the production of "any and all documents" that "support or conflict with your diagnosis."
 - (f). Claimant objects to Part II of the Discovery Questionnaire to the extent that it requests disclosure of "diagnoses and diagnostic tests" by "multiple doctors" concerning "previous or subsequent diagnoses or diagnostic tests that change or conflict with the original diagnoses." Claimant urges this objection with regard to all "conditions" for which disclosure is requested.

WR GRACE PIQ 58389-0025

Subject to the foregoing, Claimant will attach any x-rays, B-reads, pulmonary function tests or pathology reports considered by Claimant's medical expert in forming his/her opinion in connection with Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit.

3. Claimant objects to Instruction D to the extent that it requests Claimant to "attach copies of any and all documents establishing that exposure to Grace asbestos-containing products had a substantial causal role in the development of the disease" on the ground that it is ambiguous and exceeds the scope of discovery in that it requests documents that are subject to the work product privilege. Further, Claimant has been barred by the Bankruptcy Code Section 362 stay from pursuing discovery against Debtors.

PART I: IDENTITY OF INJURED PERSONS AND LEGAL COUNSEL

Subsection (a)(7) - Daytime Telephone Number of client

Claimant objects to this inquiry on the ground that it is neither relevant nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Claimant is represented by counsel, as reflected herein, and may only be contacted through counsel.

PART II: ASBESTOS-RELATED CONDITION(S)

- 1. General Objections. Claimant objects to Part II of the Questionnaire in its entirety on the ground that it exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information not relevant to the estimation proceeding, information that is privileged, and information from experts that is non-discoverable and is subject to the consulting expert and work product privileges. Additionally, the information sought may be derived from the Claimant's discoverable medical records, which are attached to the Questionnaire. Claimant further objects on the ground that the information requested by this question and its sub-parts is equally available to the Debtor in that it may be derived from Claimant's discoverable medical reports attached to the Questionnaire. Claimant further objects to the Discovery Questionnaire to the extent that it seeks disclosure of any privileged communication between Claimant, and/or a representative of Claimant, and any attorney for Claimant, and/or a representative of any attorney for Claimant. In addition, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(3), Claimant objects to the Discovery Questionnaire to the extent that it seeks disclosure of the work product of any attorney for Claimant, including but not limited to the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of Claimant.
- Subsection 1(a)-(f) Condition Being Alleged. Claimant objects to this question and each of its subparts (a-f) on the ground that it exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information not relevant to the estimation proceeding, and information from experts that is non-discoverable and is subject to the consulting expert and work product privileges. Claimant further objects on the ground that the information requested by this question and its sub-parts is equally available to the Debtor in that it may be derived from the claimant's discoverable medical reports attached to the Questionnaire. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.



3. Subsection 2 - Information Regarding Diagnosis.

- a. Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 2 on the ground that it exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, seeks information from experts that is non-discoverable, and seeks information that is subject to the consulting expert, work product and attorney-client privileges. Claimant further objects to this question as it is not reasonable and necessary to this proceeding and is harassing. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it purports to require Claimant to gather and summarize information already contained in documents provided with Claimant's Questionnaire answers, and is, therefore, obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtors. Claimant's diagnosis of an asbestosrelated condition may be based on one or more diagnostic test(s), pathology report(s) or physical examination(s). Therefore, asking Claimant to state a "date of diagnosis" is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, in response to Part II, Subsection 2, Claimant has provided the date of the medical report prepared by the medical doctor designated by Claimant in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit to provide expert medical opinions regarding Claimant's asbestos-related disease as needed to establish the elements of Claimant's claim under state law and has answered the questions regarding such doctor, if such information was readily available. Claimant has attached to this Questionnaire a copy of such expert's report along with any available x-ray readings, pulmonary function test reports ("PFT") and/or pathology reports considered by Claimant's medical expert in forming his/her opinion in connection with Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit. In addition to specifying and attaching a copy of the records from which the answer to Part II, subsection 2 may be derived or ascertained and without waiving Claimant's right to do so pursuant to Rule 33(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Claimant has set out in the Questionnaire response the information that is contained in the medical reports and that was readily available to Claimant's attorney in electronic form.
- b. Claimant further objects to the following questions in Part II, Subsection 2 regarding Claimant's relationship to the diagnosing doctor on the ground that these questions are violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges, are harassing and seek information that is not relevant to the proceeding. Claimant has additional, specific objections for the questions noted below.



With respect to your relationship to the diagnosing doctor, check all applicable boxes:					
Was the diagnosing doctor your personal physician? Yes No					
Further, Claimant specifically objects to this question on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous.					
Was the diagnosing doctor paid for the diagnostic services that he/she performed? Yes No					
If yes, please indicate who paid for the services performed:					
Did you retain counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the diagnosing doctor? Yes No Further, Claimant specifically objects to this question on the ground that it is violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges, is misleading and cannot be fairly or completely answered with a "yes" or "no." Claimant retained counsel in order to determine whether he/she had an asbestos-related claim. As part of that analysis, Claimant's counsel referred Claimant to and/or forwarded a copy of Claimant's medical records to a medical doctor qualified to give opinions regarding asbestos-related disease.					
Was the diagnosing doctor referred to you by counsel? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claimant objects to this question since "referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question cannot be answered with either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant through Claimant's counsel to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit as needed to establish the elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the diagnosing doctor and your legal counsel? Yes No					
Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claimant objects to this question since "relationship" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question cannot be answered with either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant through Claimant's counsel to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit as needed to establish the elements of					
Claimant's claim under state law.					
If yes, please explain:					

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and documents attached hereto.

4. Subsection 3 - Information Regarding Chest X-Ray (Location of X-Ray)

Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 3 on the ground that it is harassing, and exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, seeks information from experts that is non-discoverable, and seeks information that is subject to the consulting expert and work product privileges. Claimant further objects to this question on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous in that Claimant may have had multiple chest x-rays taken that do not change or conflict with Claimant's initial diagnosis of an asbestos-related condition and it would be duplicative, unduly burdensome and harassing to require Claimant to complete a separate Part II for each chest x-ray.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please refer to Claimant's deposition, if available, and any medical records attached hereto.



5. Subsection 4 - Information Regarding Chest X-Ray Reading (Results of X-R

- Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 4 on the ground that it exceeds the scope a. of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, information from experts that is non-discoverable. and information that is subject to the consulting expert, work product and attorney-client privileges. Claimant further objects to this question as it is not reasonable and necessary to this proceeding and is harassing. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is duplicative of other questions herein, and thus is unduly burdensome. Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous in that Claimant may have had multiple chest x-rays taken that do not change or conflict with Claimant's initial diagnosis of an asbestos-related condition and it would be duplicative, unduly burdensome and harassing to require Claimant to complete a separate Part II for each chest x-ray. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, in response to Part II, Subsection 4, Claimant has provided information regarding Claimant's certified B-reader report considered by Claimant's medical expert in forming his/her opinion in connection with Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit. Claimant has set out in the Questionnaire response the information relating to Claimant's certified B-reader report that was readily available to Claimant's attorney in electronic form.
- b. Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 4 of the Discovery Questionnaire as violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges to the extent that it asks: "if Claimant retained counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the reader;" if "the reader was referred to you by counsel"; and if Claimant is "aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel". Claimant further objects to the following questions in Part II, Subsection 4 regarding Claimant's relationship to the reader on the ground that these questions are harassing and seek information that is not relevant to the proceeding. Claimant has additional, specific objections for the questions noted below.



				_
With respect to your relationship to the reader, check all applicable boxes:	_			-
Was the reader paid for the diagnostic services that he/she performed?		Yes		No
If yes, please indicate who paid for the services performed:				
Did you retain counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the reader	? 🗆 -	Yes		No
Further, Claimant specifically objects to this question on the gro	und that	it is viola	tive of t	
attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product pr	ivileges, i	mislead	ling and	connat
be fairly or completely answered with a "yes" or "no." Claiman	t retaine	onuncal	ing and	r to
determine whether he/she had an asbestos-related claim. As par	t of that a	nolucio	Claimas	410
counsel referred Claimant to and/or forwarded a copy of Claima	ndle w wee	шагуыз,	Ciamiai	
qualified to give opinions regarding asbestos-related disease.	iii 2 x-1 a j	to a me	aicai ao	CIOF
Was the reader referred to you by counsel? Yes No				
Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it i		641	44	
communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claims	s violativ	e or the a	ttorney-	chent
communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Cialmi	ant object	s to this	question	ı sınce
"referred to you! is yearns and ambiguous therefore the section			ered wit	h
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question	n cannot	be answ	CICU WIL	13
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the questic either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant t	hrough C	laimant'	's counse	el to
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the questic either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant t provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit	hrough C	laimant'	's counse	el to
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the questic either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant t provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law.	hrough C as needed	Claimant I to estab	's counse dish the	el to
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the questic either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant t provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel?	hrough C as needed Yes	Claimant' I to estab	's counse dish the No	el to
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel?	hrough C as needed Yes s violative	Claimant' I to estab	's counse olish the No attorney-	el to client
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the questic either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel? Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claims	hrough C as needed Yes s violative ant object	Claimant' I to estab continue of the a ts to this	's counse olish the No ottorney- question	el to client since
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel? Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claim: "relationship" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question of	hrough C as needed Yes s violative ant object annot be	Claimant' I to estable c of the ats to this answere	's counso olish the No attorney- question d with e	el to client since
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel? Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claim: "relationship" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question of "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant through	hrough C as needed Yes s violative ant object annot be Claimant	laimant' to estab of the a ts to this answere	's counse olish the No attorney- question d with e	el to client i since ither a
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel? Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claim: "relationship" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question of "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant through expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit as neede	hrough C as needed Yes s violative ant object annot be Claimant	laimant' to estab of the a ts to this answere	's counse olish the No attorney- question d with e	el to client i since ither a
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit elements of Claimant's claim under state law. Are you aware of any relationship between the reader and your legal counsel? Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claim: "relationship" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question of "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant through	hrough C as needed Yes s violative ant object annot be Claimant	laimant' to estab of the a ts to this answere	's counse olish the No attorney- question d with e	el to client i since ither a

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and documents attached hereto.

6. Subsection 5 - Information Regarding Pulmonary Function Test

- Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 5 on the ground that it exceeds the scope a. of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, that is non-discoverable, and that is subject to the consulting expert, work product and attorney-client privileges. Claimant further objects to this question as it is not reasonable and necessary to this proceeding and is harassing. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question to the extent that it purports to require Claimant to gather and summarize information contained in documents already provided herewith, and is thus obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, in response to Part II, Subsection 5, Claimant has provided information regarding Claimant's pulmonary function test ("PFT") considered by Claimant's medical expert in forming his/her opinion in connection with Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit. Claimant has set out in the Questionnaire response the information relating to Claimant's PFT report that was readily available to Claimant's counsel in electronic form.
- b. Claimant objects to Part II, subsection 5 of the Discovery Questionnaire as violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges to the extent that it asks: "if Claimant retained counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the testing doctor or clinician;" if "the testing doctor or clinician was referred to you by counsel"; and if Claimant is "aware of any relationship between the testing doctor or clinician and your legal

WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0030

counsel".

WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0081

Claimant further objects to the following questions in Part II, Subsection regarding Claimant's relationship to the doctor or clinician who performed the pulmonary function test on the ground that these questions are harassing and seek information that is not relevant to the proceeding. Claimant has additional, specific objections for the questions noted below.

With respect to your relationship to the doctor or clinician who performed the pulmonary function test, check all applicable boxes:
If the test was performed by a doctor, was the doctor your personal physician? Yes No Further, Claimant specifically objects to this question on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous.
Was the testing doctor and/or clinician paid for the diagnostic services that he/she performed? Yes No If yes, please indicate who paid for the services performed:
Did you retain counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the testing doctor or clinician?
Are you aware of any relationship between either the doctor or clinician and your legal counsel? Yes No Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claimant objects to this question since "relationship" is vague and ambiguous; therefore, the question cannot be answered with either a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant through Claimant's counsel to provide expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit as needed to establish the elements of Claimant's claim under state law. If yes, please explain:

c. Claimant objects to the following questions in Part II, Subsection 5 regarding Claimant's relationship to the doctor interpreting the results of the pulmonary function test on the ground that these questions are violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges, harassing and seek information that is not relevant to the proceeding. Claimant has additional, specific objections for the questions noted below.



					$\overline{}$	
With respect to your relationship to the doctor interpreting the reapplicable boxes:	esults of t	he pulm	mary fun	ction test,	check all	ſ
Was the doctor your personal physician?	Yes		No			
Further, Claimant specifically objects to this quest ambiguous.	ion on th	he grour	d that it	is vague	and	
Was the doctor paid for the services that he/she performed?		П	Yes		NI.	
If yes, please indicate who paid for the services performed:		ш	res		No	
ij yes, piease inaicate who pala for the services performea:						
Did you retain counsel in order to receive any of the services perform				Yes		No
Further, Claimant specifically objects to this quest	ion on tl	he groun	d that it	is violati	ve of the	:
attorney-client communication and/or attorney wo	rk prodi	uct privi	leges, is	misleadi	ng and c	annot
be fairly or completely answered with a "yes" or "	no." Cl	aimant i	etained	counsel i	n order (to
determine whether he/she had an asbestos-related	claim A	le nart c	f that an	olveie C	laimant	
counsel referred Claimant to a medical doctor qua	lified to	intonnu	+ +h = ===	141 of C	laimant	3
	mileu to	mterpre	t the res	uits of C	iaimant's	S
pulmonary function test.	_	×1.				
Was the doctor referred to you by counsel? Yes		No				
Further, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it is violative of the attorney-client						
communication and/or attorney work product privileges. Claimant objects to this question since					ince	
"referred to you" is vague and ambiguous; therefo	ore, the q	uestion	cannot b	e answei	red with	either
a "yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Claimant through Claimant's counsel to provide					vide	
expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos La	wsuit as	needed	to establ	ish the e	lements o	of
Claimant's claim under state law.						
Are you aware of any relationship between the doctor and your legal	counsel?	□ Yes		No		
Further, Claimant objects to this question on the g					tornev_cl	iont
communication and/or attorney work product priv	rilonae 1	Claiman	t objects	to this a	wastian a	icht
"relationship! is years and embigueus therefore	the man		- objects	to mis q	uestion s	ince
"relationship" is vague and ambiguous; therefore,	the ques	suon car	inot de a	nswerea	with eiti	ier a
"yes" or a "no." The doctor was retained by Clair	mant thr	ough Cl	aimant's	counsel	to provid	de
expert medical opinions in Claimant's Asbestos La	wsuit as	needed	to establ	ish the e	lements (of
Claimant's claim under state law.						
If yes, please explain:						

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and documents attached hereto.

7. Subsection 6 - Information Regarding Pathology Reports

- a. Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 6 on the ground that it exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, that is non-discoverable, and that is subject to the consulting expert, work product and attorney-client privileges. Claimant further objects to this question as it is not reasonable and necessary to this proceeding and is harassing. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it purports to require Claimant to gather and summarize information contained in documents already provided herewith, and is thus obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and documents attached hereto.
- b. Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 6 of the Discovery Questionnaire as violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges to the extent that it asks: "if Claimant retained counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the doctor;" if "the doctor was referred to you by counsel"; and if Claimant is "aware of any relationship between the doctor and your legal counsel". Claimant further objects to the following questions in Part II, Subsection 6 regarding Claimant's relationship to the doctor issuing the pathology report on the ground that these questions are harassing and

WR GRACE PIQ 56389-003:

seek information that is not relevant to the proceeding. Claimant has additional, specific objections for the questions noted below.

With wash	not to warm notationship to the		4141)						
With respect to your relationship to the doctor issuing the pathology report, check all applicable boxes: Was the doctor your personal physician? Yes No									
		Ny obiosia ta			`` }				
	further, Claimant specifica mbiguous.	ny objects to	uns quest	ion on t	ne groui	o that	it is vagu	e and	
Was the do	ctor paid for the services that he	e/she performed	1?			Yes	П	No	
	f yes, please indicate who paid j				_		_		
Did you re	tain counsel in order to receive a	any of the servi	ces perform	ed by the	doctor?		Yes		No
F	further, Claimant specifica	lly objects to	this quest	ion on t	he grour	id that i	it is viola	tive of tl	ie
а	ttorney-client communicat	ion and/or at	torney wo	rk prod	uct privi	ileges, is	mislead	ling and	cannot
b	e fairly or completely answ	ered with a '	'yes'' or "	no." Cl	laimant i	retained	counsel	in order	r to
d	letermine whether he/she h	ad an asbesto	s-related	claim.					
Was the do	ctor referred to you by counsel?	? 🗆	Yes	□ .	No				
F	further, Claimant objects to	o this questio	n on the g	round t	hat it is v	violativ	of the a	ttornev-	client
c	ommunication and/or attor	ney work pr	oduct priv	ileges.	Claiman	t object	s to this	question	since
**	referred to you" is vague a	nd ambiguoi	ıs; therefo	re, the	guestion	cannot	be answ	ered wit	h either
a	"yes" or a "no." The doc	tor was retaiı	ned by Cla	aimant t	hrough	Claima	it's coun	sel to pr	ovide
e	xpert medical opinions in (Claimant's As	bestos La	wsuit as	needed	to estal	lish the	element	of
	Claimant's claim under stat	e law.					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	CIUMIUM	, 0,
Are you av	vare of any relationship between	the doctor and	your legal	counsel?	☐ Yes		,		
F	urther, Claimant objects to	o this questio	n on the g	round t	hat it is v	violative	of the a	ttornev-	client
c	ommunication and/or attor	nev work pr	oduct priv	ileges.	Claiman	t object	s to this	avestion	since
71	'relationship" is vague and	ambiguous:	therefore.	the ave	stion car	anot be	answere	d with ei	ther a
71	yes" or a "no." The docto	r was retaine	d by Clair	nant th	rongh Cl	aimant	e connec	d to nrov	ide
e	xpert medical opinions in (Claimant's As	hestos La	wenit as	needed	to estal	lich the	a to prov elemente	of
Č	Claimant's claim under stat	e law.		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	nocucu	to catety	mon the	Cicincia	. 01
	f yes, please explain:								
	• • •								

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and documents attached hereto.

8. Subsection 7 - Medical Treatment From Doctor for Condition Alleged

Claimant objects to this question on the ground that it exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, that is non-discoverable, and that is subject to the consulting expert, work product and attorney-client privileges. Claimant further objects to this question as it is harassing. Additionally, Claimant objects to Part II, Subsection 7 of the Discovery Questionnaire to the extent that it asks if Claimant "retained counsel in order to receive any of the services performed by the doctor," on the ground that it is violative of the attorney-client communication and/or attorney work product privileges, is misleading and cannot be fairly or completely answered with a "yes" or "no." Claimant retained counsel in order to determine whether he/she had an asbestos-related claim.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and documents attached thereto.

PART III: DIRECT EXPOSURE TO GRACE ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS

Claimant objects to Part III in its entirety on the ground that it is onerous, unduly burdensome and harassing in that it would require a great amount of time, labor and expense to create a chart of exposure to Debtor's products in the format requested by Debtor. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, the information responsive to this request may be derived from the documents attached to Claimant's Questionnaire, including, where available, Claimant's Work History Sheet ("WHS"), Claimant's Answers to Interrogatories filed in connection with Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit (referred to in the Questionnaire response as "interrogatory responses") and the work history sheets of some of Claimant's coworkers.

PART IV: INDIRECT EXPOSURE TO GRACE ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS

- 1. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 1 to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the use of the terms "contact/proximity" and "injured person". Claimant further objects to this question on the ground that it implicitly asserts unproven conclusions as established facts. Specifically, Claimant may have been injured by exposure to Grace products as a result of contact or proximity to another person, but not necessarily an "injured" person. To the best of Claimant's ability, Claimant understands the question to ask whether Claimant's injury is caused solely by contact/proximity with another person. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- Claimant objects to Part IV, question 2 to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the use of the undefined term "Other Injured Person". Specifically, there may not be an "Other Injured Person" responsible for Claimant's injuries. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor, or that is actually attached to this Questionnaire. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 3. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 3 to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the use of the undefined term "Other Injured Person". Specifically, there may not be an "Other Injured Person" responsible for Claimant's injuries. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor, or that is actually attached to this Questionnaire. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 4. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 4 to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the use of the undefined term "Other Injured Person". Specifically, there may

not be an "Other Injured Person" responsible for Claimant's injuries. Claimant objects to this question to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor, or that is actually attached to this Questionnaire. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.

- 5. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 5 to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the use of the undefined term "Other Injured Person". Specifically, there may not be an "Other Injured Person" responsible for Claimant's injuries. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor, or that is actually attached to this Questionnaire. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding. Claimant also objects to this question on the ground that Claimant may not know the specific day, month, and year of another person's exposure and therefore cannot answer the question. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 6. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 6 to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the use of the undefined term "Other Injured Person". Specifically, there may not be an "Other Injured Person" responsible for Claimant's injuries. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor, or that is actually attached to this Questionnaire. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 7. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 7 to the extent that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the use of the undefined term "Other Injured Person". Specifically, there may not be an "Other Injured Person" responsible for Claimant's injuries. Claimant further objects to this question to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor, or that is actually attached to this Questionnaire. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 8. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 8 to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Claimant further objects to the use of the term "Nature" in that it is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 9. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 9 to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile

or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equaror greater facility by the Debtor. Claimant further objects to the use of the term "Nature" in that it is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.

WR GRACE PIQ 5889-0037

10. Claimant objects to Part IV, question 10 to the extent that it requires Claimant or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Additionally, Claimant objects to this question on the ground that Claimant may not recall the exact day, month, and year his or her exposure began or ended, and, in that regard, this question is unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.

PART V: EXPOSURE TO NON-GRACE ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS

Claimant objects to Part V as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein. Claimant objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is unduly burdensome, onerous and harrassing in that it would require a great amount of time, labor and expense to create a chart of exposure in the format requested by Debtor and then to create a separate chart for each party against which Claimant has filed an asbestos lawsuit or claim. Claimant further objects to Part V to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, the information responsive to this request may be derived from the documents attached to Claimant's Questionnaire, including, where available, Claimant's Work History Sheet, Claimant's Answers to Interrogatories filed in connection with Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit ("interrogatory responses") and the work history sheets of some of Claimant's coworkers. In addition, Claimant has attached to the Questionnaire a List of Parties Against which a Lawsuit or Claim was Filed ("List of Parties").

PART VI: EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

Claimant objects to Part VI on the ground that it is unduly burdensome and harassing and it would require a great amount of time, labor and expense to complete this section of the Questionnaire in the format requested by Debtors. Claimant further objects to this question because it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information from Claimant's Social Security records that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Claimant further objects to providing information, including but not limited to, the occupation and industry codes for employers or jobsites where Claimant has not alleged any asbestos exposure as it exceeds the scope of permissible discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein, and is harassing to Claimant.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, the information responsive to this request may be derived from the documents attached to Claimant's Questionnaire, including, where available, Claimant's Itemized Statement of Earnings prepared by the Social Security Administration, Claimant's Work History Sheet, and Claimant's deposition, if available.



PART VII: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS REGARDING ASBESTOS AND/OR

Subsection a. - Litigation

- 1. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection a, question 1 as it is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits; and further, it is not limited to the lawsuit in which Debtor was sued. Claimant responds to this entire Subsection a (questions 1 through 7) as if the question were so limited. Claimant further objects to this subpart to the extent that it requires Claimant to summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor, or that is actually attached to this Questionnaire. Responding to Part VII, Subsection a in the format in Debtor's Questionnaire is harassing and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto. Claimant's counsel does not represent Claimant in a lawsuit regarding silica.
- 2. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection a, question 2, to the extent that it requires Claimant to compile or summarize information made available to Debtor that is obtainable with equal or greater facility by the Debtor. Claimant further objects to this subpart as it is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 3. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection a, question 3 on the ground that it is harrassing in that Grace knows equally as well as Claimant whether or not it was named as a defendant in Claimant's lawsuit, if any. Claimant objects to this subpart as it is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits. Further, Claimant objects to this subpart on the ground that it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant to the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 4. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection a, question 4 on the ground that it seeks information that is neither relevant, nor necessary to the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein. Whether a particular defendant has been dismissed has no bearing on Debtor's several share of the liability. Additionally, Claimant objects on the ground that it is unduly burdensome to provide the basis for dismissal for each of the dismissed defendants, if any. Claimant further objects to this subpart as it is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.
- 5. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection a, question 5 on the ground that it exceeds the scope of discovery in that it seeks information that is not relevant, nor necessary to the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein. The existence and amount of any verdict or

WR GRACE PIQ 56389-0039

judgment against any defendant other than Debtor has no bearing on D several share of the liability. Claimant objects to this subpart as it is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.

6. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection a, question 6 and each of its subparts regarding settlements reached in the lawsuit as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein and requests privileged or confidential information. Specifically, the terms of the settlement agreements, if any, including the settlement amounts, if any, are irrelevant to an aggregate estimate of Debtor's liability. Claimant further objects to this subpart as it is overly broad to the extent that it seeks information beyond asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits. Settlements with other defendants are irrelevant to Debtor's several share of the liability, and Debtor would get a set-off or credit for such settlements only for the cases that were tried all the way to judgment, which clearly will not happen in the context of the present proceeding. Further, for a majority of defendants, any settlement agreements are subject to a confidentiality agreement.

Claimant specifically objects to providing the "disease or condition alleged" and the "the disease or condition settled" (Part VII.a.6(c) and (d)) on the ground that it is ambiguous and harassing. Claimant filed a lawsuit alleging Claimant suffered injuries proximately caused by Claimant's exposure to asbestos-containing products designed, manufactured and sold by the Defendants named in the lawsuit, and any settlements were related to those allegations. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the List of Parties attached hereto. Claimant has provided information regarding the status of his/her claim against the Defendants named in Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit in the attached List of Parties.

7. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection a, question 7 on the ground that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Further, Claimant's deposition testimony is duplicative of information already provided herein. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.

Subsection b - Claims

1. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection b, question 1 as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein, and requests privileged or confidential information. Claimant further objects that responding to Part VII, Subsection b in the format in Debtors' Questionnaire is harassing and unduly burdensome. Additionally, Claimant objects on the ground that this question is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury claims. Claimant responds to this entire subsection b (questions 1 through 7) as if the questions were so limited. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Claimant has provided information regarding the status of his asbestos claim against any asbestos trust in the attached



List of Parties.

- Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection b, questions 2, 3 and 4 on the ground that it seeks information that is neither relevant, nor necessary to the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein. The date and entity against whom a claim was submitted has no bearing on Debtor's several share of the liability. Additionally, Claimant objects on the ground that this question is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury claims. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see the attached List of Parties.
- 3. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection b, questions 5 and 6 regarding settlements with an asbestos trust as it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery in that it is not relevant to the subject matter of the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein, and requests privileged or confidential information. The settlement amounts are not relevant to an aggregate estimate of Debtor's liability or to Debtor's several share of the liability. Additionally, Claimant objects on the ground that this question is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury claims. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Claimant has noted the settled claims on the attached List of Parties.
- 4. Claimant objects to Part VII, Subsection b, question 7 on the ground that it seeks information that is neither relevant, nor necessary to the estimation proceeding, nor reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence therein. Whether a particular defendant has been dismissed has no bearing on Debtor's several share of the liability. Additionally, Claimant objects on the ground that it is unduly burdensome to provide the basis for dismissal for each of the dismissed defendants, if any, and it is overly broad in that it is not limited to asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, please see Claimant's Questionnaire responses and the documents attached hereto.

PART VIII: CLAIMS BY DEPENDENTS OR RELATED PERSONS

Claimant objects to Part VIII on the ground that information regarding dependents or related persons who sued the Debtors before April 2, 2001 is equally available to Debtors. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Claimant has provided information regarding Claimant's spouse where available. Please see attached interrogatory responses and Debtors' own records for information regarding dependents.



QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSES

Claimant hereby incorporates by reference all of the foregoing general and specific objections into Claimant's Questionnaire responses and has provided the following responses subject to those objections. Because the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Debtor as for Claimant, Claimant has specified in Claimant's Questionnaire response the document(s) from which the answer may be derived, including but not limited to Claimant's medical records, Claimant's Work History Sheet (referred to in the Questionnaire response as "WHS"), Claimant's Answers to Interrogatories filed in connection with Claimant's Asbestos Lawsuit (referred to in the Questionnaire response as "interrogatory responses"), work history sheets of Claimant's coworkers (referred to in the Questionnaire responses as "Coworker WHS"), Claimant's Itemized Statement of Earnings prepared by the Social Security Administration (referred to in the Questionnaire responses as "Statement of Earnings") and Claimant's deposition. In addition, Claimant has compiled information responsive to the Questionnaire in the attached List of Parties Against which a Lawsuit or Claim Was Filed (referred to in the Questionnaire responses as "List of Parties").

LEBLANC & WADDELL, LLP

By: Jena LeBlanc Quncan

Jená LeBlanc Duncan Bar No. 26374

2711 N. Haskeli 5th Floor, LB32 Dallas, Texas 75204 (800) 805-9799



LAW OFFICES

LEBLANC & WADDELL, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

6955 Perkins Road Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808

TEL: (225) 768-7222 FAX: (225) 768-7999 TOLL FREE: 800-988-3514

July 10, 2006

Rust Consulting, Inc.
Claims Processing Agent
RE: W.R. Grace & Co. Bankruptcy
201 S. Lyndale Ave.
Faribault, MN 55021

RE:

W.R. Grace & Co. Claimant's Objections and Responses

William C. Bayne

Dear Claim Processing Agent:

Enclosed please find Claimant's Objections and Responses to the W.R. Grace Asbestos Personal Injury Questionnaire and Questionnaire Responses for Claimant. Because the burden of deriving or ascertaining the answer is substantially the same for Debtor as for Claimant, Claimant has specified in Claimant's Questionnaire response the document(s) from which the answer may be derived. Due to the volume, these documents are not attached to the Questionnaire but will be made available for inspection and/or copying in the Dallas offices of LeBlanc & Waddell, LLP during reasonable office hours upon reasonable notice.

Sincerely,

LEBLANC & WADDELL, LLP

Jena Leblanc Wur

Jená LeBlanc Dunca Enclosures

LAW OFFICES

LEBLANC & WADDELL, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

6955 PERKINS ROAD BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70808 (225) 768-7222 FAX: (225) 768-7999 TOLL FREE: 800-988-3514



BANK ONE CENTER, SUITE 3204 201 ST. CHARLES AVENUE NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70170-3204 (504) 523-9900 FAX: (504) 522-9300 TOLL FREE: 800-292-1470

July 11, 2006

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Rust Consulting, Inc.
Claims Processing Agent
RE: W.R. Grace & Co. Bankruptcy
201 S. Lyndale Ave.
Faribault, MN 55021

RE: W.R. GRACE ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY QUESTIONNAIRE

Dear Claims Processing Agent:

Enclosed please find a CD-ROM containing "Claimant's Objections and Responses to the W.R. Grace Asbestos Personal Injury Questionnaire" and Questionnaire Responses for each of the 386 Claimants listed on the attached Exhibit 1. Because the burden of deriving or ascertaining answers for certain questions is substantially the same for Debtor as for Claimants, Claimants have specified in their Questionnaire responses the document(s) from which the answers may be derived. Due to the volume, these documents are not attached to the Questionnaire but will be made available for inspection and/or copying in the Dallas offices of LeBlanc & Waddell, LLP during reasonable office hours upon reasonable notice.

Should you have any questions or concerns regarding the enclosed documents please do not hesitate to contact me at (214) 874-7000.

Sincerely,

LEBLANC & WADDELL, LLP

Liz McPeak Legal Assistant

Enclosures

	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT WR GRACE PIQ 56889-0044
Sent by:	Liz McPeak
Date:	July 12, 2006
Deliver to:	Rust Consulting, Inc. Claims Processing Agent RE: W.R. Grace & Co. Bankruptcy 201 S. Lyndale Ave. Faribault, MN 55021
Document:	W.R. Grace Asbestos Personal Injury Questionnaires - LeBlanc & Waddell
Signature:	· ×
Printed Name:	
Delivered by:	Michael J. Hanners

KEEP THIS COPY FOR YOUR RECORDS.